

August 7, 2012

City Council

Mike Tracy, Mayor
Cheryl Heitmann, Deputy Mayor
Neal Andrews, Councilmember
Brian Brennan, Councilmember
James L. Monahan, Councilmember

Carl E. Morehouse, Councilmember Christy Weir, Councilmember

The Honorable Vincent J. O'Neill, Jr.
Presiding Judge of the Superior Court
County of Ventura
800 S. Victoria Avenue
Ventura CA 93009

Re: Grand Jury Report Mandatory Detention Facilities Inspections

Dear Judge O'Neill:

Enclosed, please find our Response Form to the Grand Jury report titled <u>Mandatory Detention</u> <u>Facilities Inspections</u>.

We agree with the report findings.

By way of explanation see our comments below regarding the recommendations:

Recommendations

R-O5. That the cities without parenting programs for juveniles review and implement innovative programs such as those of Camarillo and Ojai. (FI-01, FI-02)

<u>Response</u>: We will examine those programs and see if they may be implemented by the City of Ventura. The Ventura Police Department will begin this review by contacting the cities of Camarillo and Ojai to see if those programs are appropriate for and within the resources of the City of Ventura. This review will begin by September 30, 2012.

RECEIVED

AUG 16 2012

VENTURA COUNTY GRAND JURY

Response to Grand Jury Report Form

Report Title: Mandatory Detention Facilities Inspect	ions
Report Date: 05/30/12	(
Response by: Mike Tracy Title: Mayor	(*)
FINDINGS	
I (we) agree with the findings numbered: FI-01, FI-02, FI-04	di 1000
I (we) disagree wholly or partially with the findings numbered: N/A (Attach a statement specifying any portions of the findings that are explanation of the reasons therefor.)	disputed; include an
RECOMMENDATIONS	
Recommendations numbered N/A have been implent	nented.
(Attach a summary describing the implemented actions.)	
Recommendations numbered N/A have not yet been will be implemented in the future.	implemented, but
(Attach a timeframe for the implementation.)	
Recommendations numbered R-05 require further an	alysis.
(Attach an explanation and the scope and parameters of an analysis timeframe for the matter to be prepared for discussion by the officer agency or department being investigated or reviewed, including the the public agency when applicable. This timeframe shall not exceed date of publication of the grand jury report.)	r or director of the governing body of
 Recommendations numbered N/A will not be imple are not warranted or are not reasonable. 	mented because they
(Attach an explanation.)	
Date: 8/17/12 Signed: Mike Tracy)	-
Number of pages attached	